Met Office Hadley Centre Convection-permitting climate simulations for South America: a land-surface perspective (with insights from Africa and Europe) Introduction K. Halladay, R. Kahana, S. Berthou & E. Kendon

Changes in the nature of precipitation in convection-permitting models (CPMs) compared to models with parametrised convection can have implications for land-atmosphere interactions that have not yet been fully investigated. The Met Office has now completed regional climate CPM simulations for Africa (Stratton et al, 2018), Europe (Berthou et al 2020) and South America (Halladay et al, in prep.), which give us an opportunity to explore these land-atmosphere interactions at high resolution in different climates/regions. Aims: (1) introduce the CPM simulations for Climate Science for Services Partnership (CSSP) Brazil and how they add value, (2) show how land surface-atmosphere interactions change with explicit convection, (3) explore sensitivity of CPMs to changes in the land surface scheme.

2) CPM simulations for South America





Evapotranspiration (ET) ~ canopy evap. + soil evap.

(includes transpiration and bare soil evap.)

CPM/RCM canopy evap. differences in CP4 Africa have been shown to be caused by the shifts in the rainfall intensity distribution (Folwell et al. 2022).

Less frequent, heavier rainfall in the CPM leads to overflowing of the canopy store whereas frequent light rain in the RCM replenishes the store so that moisture is available for evaporation.

CPM soil evap.



CPM canopy evap.





158

RCM canopy evap.



3) Rainfall changes and ET partitioning



Figure 4. Mean annual soil evaporation and canopy evaporation in CPM and RCM (1998-2007).

South America: increased soil evap. partially compensates for decreased canopy evap.

CP4 Africa: similar soil evap. in CPM and RCM.

Lower canopy evap. in both CPMs -> implications:

- Changes in surface runoff and surface energy budaet
- Increased land-atmosphere coupling as ET more dependent on soil moisture

4) Effect of runoff scheme on rainfall and temp. biases

2.2 km CPM simulations for Europe have a greater dry precipitation bias in JJA over France and other parts of central Europe (Berthou et al, 2020) than the 12km RCM and a warm bias in the east of the domain.

Figure 6. JJA percent precipitation bias 2000-2004 compared with observations from 4 region-specific data sets (see Berthou et al 2020 for details) for 2.2 km CPM with PDM* (left) 2.2 km CPM with TOPMODEL (right)

Precip bias with PDM*

nm/day з

Precip bias with TOPMODEL



Decreased summer warm and dry precip. biases in CPM with more complex runoff scheme

1.5m temp. bias with PDM^{*}

1.5m temp. bias with TOPMODEL



-3.0-2.5-2.0-1.5-1.0-0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3

Figure 7. JJA 1.5 m temperature bias (K) 2000-2004 for CPM with PDM (left) and CPM with TOPMODEL (right)

Figure 5. Mean annual soil evaporation and canopy evaporation in CPM and RCM in CP4 Africa simulations (2004-2006).

*PDM (Probability Distributed Model): simple runoff scheme TOPMODEL: more complex runoff scheme taking into account topography and includes a representation of the water table.

5) Effect of decreased moisture stress Met Office threshold in vegetation and increased Hadley Centre canopy capacity on precip. and temperature

The latest version of the Met Office Unified Model (RAL3) includes a change to the land surface scheme to delay the onset of moisture stress in vegetation (Harper et al, 2021), i.e. plants can continue to transpire with less soil moisture in the root zone.



precipitation difference (mm/day)



Widespread decreases in temperature

Figure 8. Difference in JJA precipitation (left) and 1.5m temperature (right) (1999-2000) between CPM with lower moisture stress threshold values and CPM with default values.

Given the low canopy evaporation in CPMs, we investigated the impact of increasing the canopy storage capacity (currently lower than observed) to maximum observed values (up to 6 times the default values, depending on plant functional type).



Widespread decreases in temperature

Figure 9. Difference in JJA precipitation (left) 1.5m temperature (right) (1999-2000) between CPM with maximum canopy capacity values compared with CPM default values.

6) Conclusions and next steps

- 4.5 km CPM simulations for South America improve the sub-daily precipitation intensity distribution and timing of diurnal peak compared to observations and relative to 25 km RCM simulations with parametrised convection. Future work will involve more detailed assessment of added value and comparison of present-day and future simulations.
- Canopy evaporation is consistently lower in CPM simulations compared to RCM simulations across different modelling domains due to the shift in rainfall intensity distribution. There may be a compensating increase in soil evaporation depending on region. The impact of differences in ET partitioning on surface energy budgets and runoff for different regions requires further investigation.
- Changing the runoff scheme to represent the water table and take into account topography decreases dry and warm biases in 2.2 km simulations for Europe. Further work is needed to assess the impact of a more detailed representation of groundwater.
 - Increasing canopy capacity and decreasing the moisture stress threshold for vegetation can and increase rainfall in some areas and decrease temperatures more widely.

References and acknowledgements

Thanks to S. Tucker, C. Short, G. Fosser, N. Savage, C. Pellev and C. Still for their help with the simulations and ancillary data/preparation.

Berthou, S., Kendon, E. J., Chan, S. C., Ban, N., Leutwyler, D., Schär, C., & Fosser, G. (2020). Pan-European climate at convection-permitting scale: a model intercomparison study. Climate Dynamics, 55(1), 35-59,

Folwell, S. S., Taylor, C. M., & Stratton, R. A. (2022). Contrasting contributions of surface hydrological pathways in convection permitting and parameterised climate simulations over Africa and their feedbacks on the atmosphere. Climate Dynamics, 1-16.

Harper, A. B., Williams, K. E., McGuire, P. C., Duran Rojas, M. C., Hemming, D., Verhoef, A., ... & Wohlfahrt, G. (2021). Improvement of modeling plant responses to low soil moisture in JULESvn4, 9 and evaluation against flux tower measurements. Geoscientific Model Development, 14(6), 3269-3294

Stratton, R. A., Senior, C. A., Vosper, S. B., Folwell, S. S., Boutle, I. A., Earnshaw, P. D., ... & Wilkinson, J. M. (2018). A Pan-African convection-permitting regional climate simulation with the Met Office Unified Model: CP4-Africa, Journal of Climate, 31(9), 3485-3508.